



209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15939-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 15.01.2025

Zeba Ansari

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana & Anr

... Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Dr. Sumati Jund, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Suneel Ranga, DAG Haryana for respondent No.1.

Respondent No.2 – Sonu Ansari – in person.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

1. This is a petition under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (CrPC) read with Section 482 of CrPC for cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2 vide order dated 03.10.2024 in FIR No.128 dated 27.11.2020 registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 377 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC) at Police Station Women West, Gurugram, Haryana (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that since the parties had compromised the matter and entered into a settlement, anticipatory bail was granted to respondent No.2. The learned counsel has further stated that after the settlement, the settlement amount of ₹5,00,000/- was not paid to the petitioner herein nor respondent No.2 was appearing for recording of his statement before the Family Court concerned.

3. During the pendency of the present petition, ₹2,50,000/- was paid vide Demand Draft No.954866 dated 03.12.2024 drawn on State Bank

of India, Sector 5, Gurugram in the name of the petitioner, which was handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner on 16.12.2024 in Court. Today a Demand Draft bearing No.954871 dated 07.01.2025 amounting to ₹2,50,000/- drawn on State Bank of India, Mehrauli Road, Gurugram, in the name of the petitioner, has also been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner in Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as per the settlement the entire amount now stands paid.

5. Respondent No.2 who is present in Court and the counsel for the petitioner state that both the petitioner and respondent No.2 would appear before the Family Court concerned for recording of their respective statements in the petition which has been filed under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. The learned counsel for the petitioner has also assured the Court that the petitioner would abide by the terms and conditions of the settlement and would also cooperate in the quashing petition once the mutual consent divorce is granted by the Family Court.

6. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed off. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

15.01.2025
Yogesh Sharma

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO