



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

270

**CWP-7419-2024
Date of decision: 22.04.2025**

DARSHAN KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - None for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the order dated 16.02.2024 whereby the petitioner had been transferred out of his service cadre from the Development & Panchayats Department, Haryana to Revenue and Disaster Management Department by the Deputy Commissioner Jind.

The matter came up for hearing on 03.04.2024, an interim order was passed permitting the petitioner to discharge his duties where he was working prior to the passing of the order of 16.02.2024. The matter remains pending before this Court to enable filing of reply.

Even though an adjournment slip has been circulated by the Counsel for the petitioner, however, it is apprised by the learned State Counsel that the office of Advocate General is in receipt of the

**CWP-7419-2024**

-2-

communication bearing Memo No. AA-II-2025/18541 dated 21.04.2025 as per which the petitioner has been subsequently posted in the office of Block Development & Panchayats Office vide order dated 16.07.2024 passed by the Director General, Development & Panchayats Department, who is designated appointing authority in the case of Drivers as per the statutory Service Rules of 2012. Consequent to the subsequent order of 16.07.2024, the petitioner has already joined and has not raised any objection to such order of transfer from the Office of Block Development & Panchayats Officer, Uchana to Block Development & Panchayats Officer, Narwana District Jind.

Since the impugned order of transfer stands superseded by a subsequent order of transfer dated 16.07.2024, which is not a subject matter of challenge in the present writ petition and the petitioner has also joined his new place of posting, hence, the instant writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is, however, granted to the Counsel for the petitioner to seek revival of the present writ petition in case the averments noticed herein above are found to be factually incorrect.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)**JUDGE****APRIL 22, 2025***Vishal Sharma*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No