



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

COCP-1447-2025

Date of Decision:03.04.2025

RAGHBIR NATH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

RAJENATH CHELA KRISHAN NATH AND ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Fateh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Singla, AAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 11/12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, alleging willful disobedience of order dated 18.07.2024, Annexure P-3, passed by this Court in CRWP-6065-2024 titled as “Dera Baba Raghbir Nath Vs. State of Haryana and others”.

2. Pursuant to notice issued by this Court, an affidavit has been filed by Mr. Varun Singla, IPS, Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, which is taken on record, wherein it has been *inter alia* deposed as under:-

“2. That in compliance of the order dated 18.07.2024 in CRWP No. 6065 of 2024 of the Hon'ble Court, appropriate direction was given to DSP Pehowa, Kurukshetra to assess the threat perception and



COC-1447-2025

-2-

apprehension to the life and the liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents vide this office letter No.46584-92 dated 23.07.2024. On the basis of the direction given above, the threat assessment was done by the concerned officials and upon that, the following steps were taken which are as under:

(i) It is decided to place Emergency Response Vehicle-112 on regular basis near the place of dispute i.e. Dera village Karah Sahib to ensure that no untoward incident should take place in the said locality.

(ii) Further, the petitioner is intimated in person to directly call SHO Police Station Sadar Pehowa regarding emergency in case of any apprehension or immediate threat to his life and liberty so that the concerned SHO could take necessary steps to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioner.

(iii) Further, the petitioner has been intimated about Dial-112 and the presence of Emergency Response Vehicle-112 in the Karah Sahib area and to take police help in case of any imminent threat. Further he was intimated that the average response time of Emergency Response Vehicle-112 is eight minutes.”

3. In view of the above stand taken by the official respondents, this Court is satisfied that the order, Annexure P-3, passed by this Court has been complied with.

4. Petition is dismissed.

03.04.2025
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No