

CRM-M-15584-2025
Date of decision: 03.04.2025

AMAN MALHI AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Maneet Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

Ms. Geetanjali, Advocate for the respondents No.2 and 3.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 21.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
136	02.06.2022	420 IPC and 66(C) of the IT Act	Shahkot, District Jalandhar (Rural)

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 21.01.2025 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties



and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Nakodar, vide report dated 01.04.2025, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 21.03.2025, an amount of Rs.20000/- has been deposited with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Nakodar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 136 dated 02.06.2022, under Sections 420 IPC and 66(C) of the IT Act, registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar (Rural) (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

03.04.2025

puneet

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No