



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14605-2025
Date of decision :31.07.2025

SURJIT SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

None for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.128 dated 29.05.2024 registered under Sections 323, 324, 447, 511, 506, 427 IPC at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

On 20.03.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Prayer in these petitions is for quashing of FIR No.128 dated 29.05.2024 under Sections 323, 324, 447, 511, 506, 427 of the IPC registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar and for quashing of cross case DDR No.18 dated 03.06.2024 under Sections 323, 324, 447, 506, 511 of the IPC registered in the aforesaid FIR, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.02.2025.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submit that subsequent to the registration of the FIR and DDR in question the parties with the intervention of the respectables

**CRM-M-14605-2025****-2-**

have amicably resolved all their disputes, and hence continuation of criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

At this stage, Mr. Gobind Singh Randhawa, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 in CRM-M14605-2025 whereas Mr. Gagandeep Singh Bajwa, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 in CRM-M-14790- 2025. They have filed their respective Power of Attorneys which are taken on record. They do not dispute the submissions made by counsel opposite and also do not oppose the prayer for quashing of FIR and DDR on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

In view of the above, parties are directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court on 21.04.2025 or any other date thereafter convenient to that Court for getting their statements recorded with regard to the factum of compromise so effected between them. However, this shall be subject to deposit of Rs.10,000/- as costs by the petitioners in each petition in the District Legal Services Authority concerned, which shall be a condition precedent for recording of statements of the parties. The Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court is directed to record the statements of both the parties specifying the following:

- i. The name of the complainant and all accused arrayed in the FIR/DDR; whether all of them have appeared and made their respective statements in support of the compromise so effected between them;*
- ii. Whether any of the accused has been declared a Proclaimed Offender;*
- iii. The stage of trial/proceedings;*

**CRM-M-14605-2025****-3-**

iv. If the compromise so arrived at between the parties is genuine, voluntary and out of free will.

v. Criminal antecedents, if any, of the accused.

The Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court is further directed to send a report alongwith statements of the parties with regard to the validity or otherwise of the compromise so effected between the parties.

Adjourned to 21.5.2025.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.”

On 21.05.2025, the following order was passed:-

“As per report received from learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar, the parties have failed to comply with order dated 20.03.2025, vide which they had been directed to get their statements recorded qua the compromise effected between them.

Learned counsel for the parties pray for one more opportunity to get their statements recorded.

In view of the request made by learned counsel for the parties, the parties are now directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court on 01.07.2025 or any other date thereafter convenient to that Court for getting their statements recorded with regard to the factum of compromise so effected between them. The Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court is directed to record the statements of both the parties in terms of order dated 20.03.2025 and to send a report alongwith statements of the parties with regard to the validity or otherwise of the compromise so effected between the parties.

Adjourned to 31.07.2025.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.”

**CRM-M-14605-2025****-4-**

Despite the passing of the aforementioned orders, the parties did not appear before the concerned Court to get recorded their statements in support of the compromise.

In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition. Therefore, the same stands dismissed.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

31.07.2025
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No