



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA-1189-2000

Date of decision : 16.09.2025

The State of Punjab and others

... Appellants

Versus

Nirmal Singh

... Respondent


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab
for the appellants.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The respondent-plaintiff had filed a suit for declaration to the effect that the impugned order dated 23.11.1993 by virtue of which he was dismissed from service, was illegal and bad. The said suit was dismissed, however, the appeal filed by the respondent-plaintiff was decreed and it was further held that the plaintiff was not entitled to any salary during the period of his absence from duty. While admitting the matter, the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had stayed the operation of the impugned judgment and decree, subject to the condition that the appellants shall give job to the respondent but the respondent shall not be entitled to back wages.

2. On the last date, none had appeared on behalf of the respondent and accordingly, notice was issued by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court



to the respondent and also to counsel for the respondent. In spite of the respondent having been served and the counsel having been informed, no one has appeared on behalf of the respondent to inform the Court as to whether any cause survives.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that the present appeal be disposed of but liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the case in case the respondent claims the benefit under the impugned judgment of the Ist Appellate Court or files an execution application.

4. In view of the above, present appeal is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty. Liberty is also granted to the respondent to revive the case, in case, any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 16, 2025.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No