



237

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14385-2025

Date of Decision:01.05.2025

Salim alias Sonu

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.178 dated 16.06.2024 registered under Sections 114, 147, 148, 149, 307, 323, 325, 341 and 506, at Police Station City Narwana, District Jind.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that even though, the petitioner was named in the FIR, however, only vague and general allegations have been levelled against him. He further contends that as per the case set up by the prosecution, Jatin, co-accused had caused an injury with an ice-pick on the head of Shamsher, injured and the said injury has been declared to be dangerous to life. However, Shamsher and two other injured have already been discharged from the hospital. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 09.09.2024 and similarly placed co-accused,

namely, Rajender, Sunil @ Pappan, Raju and Pardeep Singh alias Dog have already been admitted to bail by this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. It is not in dispute that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 07 months. Even similarly placed co-accused, namely, Rajender, Sunil @ Pappan, Raju and Pardeep Singh alias Dog have already been admitted to bail by this Court and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

01.05.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No