



CRWP-2537-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2537-2025

Date of decision: 12.03.2025

DAVINDER KAUR

....PETITIONER(S)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Article 226./227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus, and for appointment of a Warrant Officer.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner, has limited his request for the purpose of present petition to the effect that he had already moved a representation dated 10.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna, wherein, no action has been taken by the concerned authorities till date. He further submits that for the purpose of the petition, the petitioner will be satisfied if appropriate directions are given to respondent No.2 to consider and dispose of the aforesaid representation dated 10.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-



CRWP-2537-2025

2

respondents No. 1 to 3 and submits that the aforesaid representation will be disposed of in an expeditious manner in accordance with law.

5. After considering the rival contentions and considering the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana, to consider and dispose of the representation dated 10.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner so as to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and if needed, provide requisite protection to her life and liberty.

6. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioner.

7. Disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

12.03.2025
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |