



102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-626-1997 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.09.2025

State of Haryana and others

...Appellants

Versus

Jeet Ram

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana, for the appellants.

None for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

CM-2409-C-2025

1. This is an application filed under Order 22 Rule 4 of CPC for impleading legal representatives of respondent-Jeet Ram.
2. For the reasons stated in the application which is supported by an affidavit, the present application is allowed and legal representatives of respondent-Jeet Ram are ordered to be impleaded as party subject to just exceptions and solely for the purpose of pursuing the main appeal and the same would not be construed as an adjudication on the entitlement of the estate of the respondent-Jeet Ram.
3. Amended memo of parties is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

**Main case**

1. The respondent-plaintiff had filed a suit for declaration to the effect that adverse remarks communicated to him by defendant No.3 vide letter dated 22.06.1992 was not in accordance with law. The said suit was filed in the year 1994 and was decreed by the trial Court and the adverse remarks in the Annual Confidential Report were ordered to be expunged to the extent mentioned in the relief clause. Appeal filed by the State of Haryana was dismissed.

2. A perusal of the paper book would show that there is no interim order in favour of the present appellants. It is further apparent that respondent has died and specific order was passed to serve the LRs of respondent. Order dated 03.07.2024 passed by the Coordinate Bench as well as order dated 17.03.2025 passed by this Court are reproduced hereinbelow:-

“Order dated 03.07.2024:-

*Present: Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, D.A.G., Haryana
for the appellants.*

None for the respondent.

As per office report, notice issued to the sole respondent has been received back with the report that no such person is posted at Narnaul.

Let fresh address of the respondent be furnished by learned State counsel within a period of 02 weeks.

On doing so, let fresh notice be issued to the respondent for 14.08.2024.

Process 'dasti' only.

03.07.2024



Order dated 17.03.2025:-

*Present: Mr.Jagdish Manchnda, Addl.A.G. Haryana
for the appellants.*

*Let notice be issued to the proposed LR's of Jeet Ram
respondent no.1, as per the amended memo of parties, for
23.05.2025.*

March 17, 2025”

3. As per report of the Registry, all the LR's of respondent have been served except respondent No.1(iii). However, none has appeared for them. In all probability, the respondent had retired and had subsequently died.
4. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in view of the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present appeal be disposed of at this stage but liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the same after the appellants are able to effect service upon respondent No.1(iii) and also in case any cause survives in the present appeal.
5. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of at this stage with liberty aforesaid.
6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

03.09.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No