



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

CRM-M-14335-2025

Date of decision: 18.03.2025

Monas Masih @ Amnosh @ Amnosh MasihPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in case FIR No.45 dated 21.06.2023 under Sections 341, 324, 326, 325, 427, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Bhani Mian Khan, District Batala (Gurdaspur).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, had no motive to participate in the alleged occurrence. It is argued that any animosity, if at all, was between co-accused Hardeep Singh and the complainant's family, as the sister of Hardeep Singh had married the complainant's elder son, Jagmeet Singh, against the wishes of her family. The petitioner, being merely an employee of Hardeep Singh, has been falsely implicated in the present case along with other accused persons.

3. It is further submitted that the FIR (Annexure P-1) contains vague and generalized allegations against the petitioner, merely stating



CRM-M-14335-2025

that he inflicted injuries on the victim, Jagmeet Singh, without specifying the exact nature of the weapon used. Additionally, it is pointed out that the FIR was lodged not by the injured himself but by his father, the complainant. This, according to the learned counsel, raises serious doubts about the veracity of the allegations and is suggestive that the FIR is a fabricated version of events.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the relevant material on record.

5. Having considered the submissions made, it would be apposite to refer to the relevant portion of the FIR which reads as follows:-

“Statement of Sukhwinder Singh son of Gurdas Singh resident of Sallopur Khurd, stated that am resident of above mentioned address and doing the work of agriculture. I have two sons eldest is Jitender Singh who is running a crane in Gujarat and younger to him is Jagmeet Singh who is working in Ludhiana in Oswal factory. On dated 18.06.2023 I had come to my house in my village. Today on dated 21.06.2023 at about 5 PM my son was going to the field for given the water from the tube well on his motorcycle bearing number PB68 AR6664. When my son was about half kilometre behind from village Chak Sarif on Kahnuwan road then from behind two cars out of which one was swift car and other was duster white colour came there from which Hardeep Singh alias Doda son of Guriqbal Singh alias Kala, Balkar Singh alias Bara son of Malkit Singh, Ramandeep Singh son of Hardeep Singh resident of Sallopur Khurd and Vicky son of Amarjit Singh, Manoh Masih son of Babba Masih, Joti Masih son of Sabba Masih resident of Sallopur Khurd were present who stuck there Swift car against the motorcycle of my son and threw him on the road. I was also coming on my motorcycle behind my son then the aforesaid person took out sharp edged weapons from their car and attacked on my son and they caused injuries to my son Jagmeet Singh alias Sony and got him seriously injured. Me and my son raised alarm mar dita mar ditta. On hearing my alarm Har minder Singh son of Guljinder Singh resident of Sallopur and other passerby gathered there and the



CRM-M-14335-2025

aforesaid accused ran away from the spot in their car along with their weapons. We got was admitted our son Jagmeet Singh to civil hospital Bhani Mian Khan after making arrangement of transport, where my son is under treatment. Because of serious injuries My son is unconscious, who cannot recorded his statement. The motive of the occurrence is that my elder son Jitender Singh had perform Court marriage of a girl belongs to our village because of debt my son Jagmeet Singh has been caused injuries. Action be taken against the accused persons statement had which is correct SD Sukhwinder Singh attested SD Satnam Singh Bhani Mian Khan dt 21.06.2023”

6. A plain reading of the FIR unequivocally shows that the incident was a premeditated and coordinated assault, in which the petitioner, along with other accused, arrived in two vehicles armed with deadly weapons. The accused party deliberately rammed their car into the motorcycle of the injured, causing him to fall, and then launched a physical attack inflicting multiple injuries.

7. The contention that the FIR is a fabricated account and that the complainant was not present at the scene of crime cannot be assessed at this stage, particularly in a petition for anticipatory bail.

8. The petitioner has been specifically named in the FIR, and the allegations against him *prima facie* are not vague; all accused persons formed an unlawful assembly, were armed with lethal weapons, and actively participated in the assault on Jagmeet Singh. In view of the material on record and the multiple injuries sustained by Jagmeet Singh, this Court does not deem it fit to extend the extraordinary concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The instant petition stands dismissed accordingly.

9. However, it is made clear that anything observed

CRM-M-14335-2025

hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

18.03.2025

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No