



CRM-M-14376-2025

-1-

**218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14376-2025

Date of Decision: 21.03.2025

Akil

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has approached this Court by way of present second petition praying for granting regular bail in case FIR No.472 dated 10.12.2021 under Sections 13(1) and 13(2) of Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015, registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Gurugram.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR. He submits that the petitioner earlier approached this Court by way of filing CRM-M-552-2022 for the grant of anticipatory bail, which was allowed by this Court vide order dated 23.02.2022. He submits that thereafter, the petitioner was duly appearing before the trial Court, however, he could not appear firstly on 01.04.2023, however, he appeared on 07.03.2024 and thereafter, he failed to appear on 19.07.2024 and hence, his bail was cancelled. He submits that thereafter, the petitioner surrendered before the trial Court on 17.09.2024 and since then he is behind bars. He submits that co-accused has already been granted bail and the trial is not concluded till date. He further submits



that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents and thus, he deserves to be released on regular bail.

3. Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He has submitted that though the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail, however, he misused the concession of bail, hence, the same was rightly cancelled. He submits that six witnesses remain to be examined. He has placed on the record the custody certificate of the petitioner.

4. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 23.02.2022. However, due to his non-appearance in the Court on 19.07.2024, his bail was cancelled and he was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants. The custody certificate would show that the petitioner is behind bars since 17.09.2024 and thus, he has completed incarceration of more than six months. It further reflects that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

5. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and the fact that the petitioner remained on anticipatory bail, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is



ordered to be released on bail on furnishing his bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.03.2025	(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila	JUDGE
Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No