



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CWP-7345-2025

Date of decision: 27.03.2025

Makhan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. K.K. Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 18.03.2025, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Prayer made in the present petition is for quashing the revised PPO dated 30.09.2024.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner had been issued PPO on 16.09.2022 wherein revised family pension payable was Rs.25,020/-, after which his wife passed away on 02.07.2023 and he re-married on 11.07.2024 and requested for inclusion of her name in the PPO, which though has been issued, however, the amount of family mentioned therein is Rs.12,510/-, a legal notice with regard to which has though been responded to wherein reliance is placed on Notes 1 to 3 of CSR, Volume-II, which are not applicable to his case.

Learned State counsel prays for time to obtain instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 27.03.2025.”

2. Reliance is placed by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the judgment dealing with this very issue in **Daljit Singh vs. State of Punjab and another**, CWP-6000-2016, decided on 29.01.2020, Annexure P-3, in view of which he prays that the matter would be reconsidered and decided in a time bound manner.

3. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents



would not be averse to have a relook at the matter and decided afresh, taking note of the aforesaid judgment, within a period of 3 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

4. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon he shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

27.03.2025
Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No