



311

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-1606-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 29.10.2025

Rakesh Kumar @ Bunti

... Petitioner

Versus

Baini Masih and Others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate for the petitioner.

Respondent No.1 proceeded against *ex parte*
vide order dated 07.11.2024.

None for respondent No.2.

Ms. Tanu, Advocate for
Mr. Nigam K. Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No.3.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 states that the cheque has been deposited before the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Pathankot.
2. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.3, the present revision petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.
3. Needless to say, the petitioner would always be at liberty to get the present revision petition revived in case anything survives in the matter.

29.10.2025
Ankur Goyal

**(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE**

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO