IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

221 **FAO No. 4191 of 2001 (O&M)**
DATE OF DECISION :- 04.11.2025

Sukhwinder Singh @ Sukha and another **...Appellants**

Versus

Amrik Singh and another **...Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- None for the appellants.

***

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. No one has appeared on behalf of the appellants. Notice was ordered to be issued to the appellants. The same has been received back unserved as there is incomplete address of appellant No. 1 and appellant No. 2 Firm has been closed for the last 15-20 years. It seems that appellants are not interested in pursuing the instant appeal.

2. In view of the above and given the prevailing circumstances, there seems to be no justification to keep the appeal on the docket, indefinitely. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellants to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

3. Since the main appeal stands decided, the miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

04.11.2025
P.Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No

PARVINDER SINGH
2025.11.07 09:04
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment