



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

304

CWP-7292-2024Date of Decision: 11.02.2025

Sanjeev Saini

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Naman Jain, Advocate,  
for respondent No.3.**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner through instant petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to conclude inquiry initiated consequent to charge sheet dated 10.08.2022 and direct the respondent to consider him for promotion in terms of representation dated 07.03.2024.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance *qua* conclusion of inquiry stands redressed in view of order dated 19.04.2024 passed by respondent whereby proceedings have been dropped. Petitioner has only grievance with respect to adjudication of his representation dated 07.03.2024.

3. Mr. Naman Jain, learned counsel for respondent No.3 does not dispute the fact that departmental proceedings stand dropped. He further submits that competent authority would consider representation of petitioner and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law.

4. In the wake of statement of both sides, instant petition is disposed of. Let the needful be done within a period of 3 months from today.

11.02.2025

shivani

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes

Whether reportable

No

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)  
JUDGE