



127

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-1858-2025
Date of decision: 26.03.2025

National Insurance Company Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Nandni Sood and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that in para 2 of the impugned order, several facts have been wrongly argued on behalf of the respondents but has fairly submitted that he has not annexed any documents to show that the said facts are incorrect. It is submitted that the present revision petition be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same after annexing the relevant documents showing that the arguments raised by the respondents in para 2 are factually incorrect.
2. In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

26.03.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No