



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-8172-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 24.03.2025**

DHL Express (India) Pvt. Ltd.

... Petitioner

Versus

Joint Commissioner of State Tax (Appeals) and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**


Present: Mr. Kishore Kunal, Advocate,
Ms. Ankita Prakash, Advocate, and
Mr. Risbhab Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mrs. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits, for prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had moved an application dated January 23, 2025, under Section 161 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017, seeking rectification of the orders being assailed herein, for the present, he be permitted to withdraw the petition to enable the petitioner to pursue the said application with the respondent-authorities. Further, he submits that, in case, the petitioner has any fresh cause of action or is aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the authorities, he be granted liberty to avail such other remedies, as shall be admissible in law.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mrs. Mamta Singla Talwar, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana is present in Court on behalf of the respondents. As always, she fairly submits that if any such application, as indicated above, is pending under Section 161 of the CGST Act, 2017, the same shall be taken cognizance of by the authorities. And, appropriate orders shall be passed in accordance with law, at the earliest.



In the wake of the position sketched out above, as also the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Sudeepti Sharma)
Judge

24.03.2025
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO