



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

LPA-376-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.09.2025

SANTOKH SINGH

...Appellant

Versus

SUPERINTENDENT CANAL OFFICER, JANDIALA DIVISION UBDC,
AMRITSAR AND OTHERS

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. B.S. Bajwa, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Dayal Pratap Randhawa, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.4 and 6.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the order passed by the learned Single Judge is on the basis that a running watercourse has been demolished, which is incorrect and hence, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having not been pressed any further, with liberty to file a review application against order passed by the learned Single Judge.

2. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

September 11, 2025*harish*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No