

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:036152



(140)

CRWP-2547-2025

Date of Decision: 17.03.2025

Mamta & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.

Present:- Mr. Pal Singh, Advocate for petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.J (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 5, who are stated to be family members of petitioner No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged about 23 years and petitioner No.2, aged about 30 years, got married on 10.03.2025 against the wishes of private respondents. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 11.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) was also made to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, District Kurukshetra, wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned

counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, District Kurukshetra to look into their representation dated 11.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Kurukshetra, District Kurukshetra to look into the representation dated 11.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

11.03.2025

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No