

2025:PHHC:066338



355.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14216-2025

Date of decision: 19.05.2025

Jagraj Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Tejinder Kataria, Advocate, for the petitioner, through
Video Conferencing.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Verma, Advocate, for

Ms. Kashish Sahni, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.0167, dated 25.04.2023, under Sections 336, 34 of IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Ferozepur, District Ferozepur (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 28.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide orders dated 18.03.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ferozepur, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein,

the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the copies of statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioner.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 19, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No