



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(204-2)

RSA-3062-1997 (O&M)Date of Decision:-**29.09.2025****CHAND RAM AND OTHERS**

... Appellants

Versus

UJJAGAR SINGH AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- None for the appellants.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Although the matter has been called on two separate occasions, no appearance has been entered on behalf of the appellants. It is further observed that an application bearing **CM-10065-C-2011** is pending, wherein the learned counsel for the applicant/appellants has sought withdrawal of the present appeal, submitting that a compromise has been amicably effected with the respondents and that the appellants no longer wish to pursue the appeal.

2. In the circumstances, it is evident that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the appeal pending on the docket indefinitely merely to secure the appellants' appearance. The Court's time is a valuable public resource, which cannot be expended at the instance of a litigant who has demonstrated complete indifference to the prosecution of the matter. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution. However, in the interest of justice, liberty is reserved to the appellants to seek revival of



the appeal, if so advised, by filing an appropriate application within a period of *three months from the date hereof*, subject to showing sufficient cause for the earlier default.

3. As the main matter has already been adjudicated, no purpose would be served by keeping any pending miscellaneous application(s) alive. All such application(s), if any, shall accordingly be treated as having become infructuous and are hereby disposed of in the same terms.

29.09.2025
Gaurav Sorot

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No