



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(204)

RSA-3061-1997 (O&M)Date of Decision:-**29.09.2025**

CHAND RAM AND OTHERS

... Appellants

Versus

UJJAGAR SINGH AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- None for the appellants.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Despite the matter being called on two occasions, no appearance has been made on behalf of the appellants. It is further noted that an application bearing CM-9287-C-2011 is pending, wherein the learned counsel for the applicant/appellants has prayed for withdrawal of the instant appeal on the ground that a compromise has been amicably effected with the respondents and that the appellants are no longer inclined to pursue the appeal.

2. In the circumstances, it is apparent that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the appeal pending on the docket indefinitely to secure the appellants' appearance. The Court's time is a valuable public resource, which cannot be expended at the instance of a litigant demonstrating complete indifference to the prosecution of the matter. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution. However, in the interest of justice, liberty is reserved to the appellants to seek revival of the appeal, if so



advised, by filing an appropriate application within a period of ***three months from the date hereof***, subject to demonstrating sufficient cause for the earlier default.

3. As the main matter already stands adjudicated, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, alive. Accordingly, all such application(s) shall be deemed to have been rendered infructuous and are hereby disposed of in the same terms.

29.09.2025
Gaurav Sorot

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No