



250

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14313-2025

Date of Decision:29.04.2025

Pardeep alias Dog

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Rishi Pal Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.178 dated 16.06.2024 registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 341, 506 and Sections 114, 307, 325 (added later on), at Police Station City Narwana, District Jind.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and has been nominated as an accused on the basis of the disclosure statement suffered by Rajender, co-accused. He further contends that Rajender has already been admitted to bail by this Court, vide order dated 22.04.2025 passed in CRM-M-14179-2025. He further contends that Jatin, co-accused had caused an injury with an ice-pick on the head of Shamsher, injured and the said injury has been declared to be dangerous to life. However, Shamsher and two other injured have already been discharged from the hospital. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner was arrested on

11.10.2024 and the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented against him. He next contends that Sunil @ Pappan, Raju and Rajender, all the accused have already been admitted to bail by this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. It is not in dispute that Rajender, co-accused has been admitted to bail by this Court in CRM-M-14179-2025 on 22.04.2025 and the case of the petitioner is on better footing on him. Moreover, the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 06 months and his further custody will not serve any meaningful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

29.04.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No