



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

141

CRM-M-15707-2025
Date of decision: April 1st, 2025

Om Parkash and others
.....Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Kusum Raj, Advocate
for Mr. A.D.S. Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

- A request for adjournment has yet again been made on behalf of the learned arguing counsel for the petitioners.
2. A perusal of all the previous orders reveal that not even on a single occasion, arguments have been advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioners.
3. It is, thus, evident that the case is being adjourned time and again and the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the matter any further.
4. Even Hon'ble the Supreme Court on 19.11.2024 in *Nilesh Dnyaneshwar Desale Versus The State of Maharashtra: SLP (Criminal) Diary No.22040/2024* deprecated the practice of adjournments and dismissed the case for want of prosecution.
5. In the circumstances, the instant petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

April 1st, 2025
Puneet
(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : YesNo