



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-3300-1996
Date of decision: 20.11.2025

Dharam Pal Arya and another

.... Appellants

Vs.

Bank of Maharashtra and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: - None for the appellants.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

In compliance of the order dated 30.11.2023, notice was sent to the appellants. Appellant No.1 has been duly served through his son, but nobody has put in appearance on his behalf. Notice issued to appellant No.2 has been received back unserved as he was not found residing at the given address. No other address of appellant No.2 is available on record. Services of respondents No.2 to 13 has already been dispensed with. Respondent No.1 has also been served through Bank Manager, but there is no representation on behalf of respondent No.1.

All the aforesaid circumstances indicate that appellants are no longer interested in pursuing the present appeal. As such, the same is hereby dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

20.11.2025
Vivek

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No