

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:155391



(225)

FAO-3558-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-11.11.2025

ROSHAN PANDEY

... Appellant

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. Same was the situation for quite some time, so it seems that no one is ready to pursue the instant appeal.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

11th November, 2025

S. Pathania

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No