



205
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-3173-1996 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.02.2025

Punjab State Electricity Board
...Appellant

Versus

A.V. Jauhar
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Hoshiar Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. In the present case, the operation of the judgment and decree under appeal was stayed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 09.12.1996.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that respondent has died and his legal representatives have not contacted his office in order to state as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal or not.
3. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the legal representatives of the respondent have not approached the concerned office to claim any right under the impugned judgment.
4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present appeal is disposed of at this stage with liberty to the appellant to revive the present appeal in case any of the legal representatives of the respondent approach the concerned office for implementation of the order. Liberty is also granted to the legal representatives of the respondent to revive the present appeal in case any cause survives to them.
5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

20.02.2025
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No