



CRM-M-14624-2025

2

joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 19.03.2025.

4. Learned State counsel intimates the Court that the petitioners have joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant opposed the bail application by submitting that the petitioners was beaten in the presence of the Government Officials and as such, he is not entitled for grant of bail.

6. During the course of hearing on 19.03.2025, following order was passed:

“ 2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He contends that no such occurrence had ever taken place and the instant case was registered after an unexplained delay of 08 days as a result of consultation and afterthought. He further contends that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents and has been roped in without any rhyme or reason and even no injury is attributed to the petitioners. Hence, the instant petition.

3. Notice of motion, returnable for 22.04.2025.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Kumar Gujjar, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the Staterespondent and prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.

5. Mr. Mayank Yadav, Advocate, has entered appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his memo of appearance to assist learned State counsel in the matter. The same is taken on record.

6. Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.



CRM-M-14624-2025

3

7. In the meanwhile, the petitioners are hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of their arrest, they are ordered to be released on interim bail on their furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. They shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS).

8. Investigating Officer (concerned) to remain present in Court along with relevant records on the date fixed.”

7. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioners had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 19.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 19.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioners are directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; they will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

8. The petition stands allowed.

9. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

22.04.2025

kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |