



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

146

CRWP-2508-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.03.2025

SUKHWINDER KAUR AND ANR.Petitioners
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. S.P. Soi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 11 and take action on their representation dated 09.03.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that they are major. However, petitioner no.1 was married with respondent no.4 about 8 years back and out of this wedlock, one male child was born. But due to bad habits of respondent no.4, petitioner no.1 did not want to live with him. Petitioner no.1 was known to petitioner no.2 for about 1 year back and due to bad relationship with her husband, she fell in love with petitioner no.2. Due to which they decided to live in live-in-relationship. However, their parents are against their relationship and threats have even been extended to them by private respondents. Apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, they sent representation dated 09.03.2025 to the respondent No.2



but the same has not been taken into consideration and hence, the present petition.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage. The petitioners’ counsel is directed to supply the copy of the petition to her.
- 5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel without going into the question of validity of their relationship and without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the veracity of the averments made in the petition and also with regard to the sanctity of relationship or age of the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana (Rural) to look into the matter and dispose of the representation dated 09.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law and also to take necessary step, if any, genuine threat to life and liberty of the petitioners is found.
- 6. A copy of this order along with copy of representation dated 09.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) be sent to respondent No.2, so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.
- 7. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

12.03.2025

Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable | Yes/No |