



**CM-14151-CWP-2025
CM-14154-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-6736-2023 1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(104) CM-14151-CWP-2025
CM-14154-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-6736-2023
Date of Decision : September 22, 2025**

M/s Gill Rice Mill

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Sanjay Kaushal, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Arjun Shukla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. T.S. Sidhu, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-14151-CWP-2025

Present application has been filed for early hearing of the case from 08.12.2025 to an early actual date.

Notice of the application to the opposite counsel.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State, Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and Mr. T.S. Sidhu, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3. They raised no objection for the grant of prayer as raised in the present application.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed and on the



CM-14151-CWP-2025
CM-14154-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-6736-2023 **2**

joint request of learned counsel for the parties, the hearing of the main writ petition is preponed from 08.12.2025 to today itself.

CM-14154-CWP-2025

As prayed for, the application is allowed.

Notification dated 12.09.2025, as Annexure P-18, is taken on record.

CWP-6736-2023

1. After arguing for some time, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that the policy, which is under challenge in the present writ petition, has already outlived its utility as of now. Every year, a new policy is being issued by the respondent-State. In case the petitioner is aggrieved against the policy according to which, the allotment of the paddy is to be made this year, petitioner can avail appropriate remedy hence, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.
2. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a fresh petition challenging the policy, in case the petitioner is aggrieved and based upon such policy, the benefit of allotment of paddy is denied to the petitioner.
3. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

September 22, 2025
harsha

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No