

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:162347



(206)

RSA-2270-1997 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-20.11.2025

RAJWANTI

... Appellant

Versus

MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE THANESAR

... Respondent

:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Pravindra Singh Chauhan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate,
for the respondent.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Notices were issued to the parties. As per the office report, notice issued to the sole appellant was received back served but none has appeared on behalf of the appellant. Same was the situation for quite some time, so it seems that no one is ready to pursue the appeal.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands disposed of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

20th November, 2025
S. Pathania

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No