



239

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14133-2025

Date of Decision:20.03.2025

BHINDER SINGH ALIAS GALLOK ALIAS DHARMINDER SINGH

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Bhanu Chaudhary, Advocate &
Ms. Ekta Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.359 dated 04.12.2024, registered under Sections 21(c)/25/29 of NDPS Act, Police Station Sadar Mansa, District Mansa.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the case of the prosecution, Ravi Singh @ Guri and Jagdeep Singh @ Jaggu, both the co-accused were arrested by the police on 04.12.2024, while they were carrying 260 grams of heroin in their conscious possession without any permit or license. He further submits that the petitioner was neither named in the FIR nor any



physical description of the petitioner was mentioned and has been arrested in the present case, on the basis of the disclosure statement suffered by his co-accused. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 05.12.2024 and no recovery was effected from him.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. However, he admits that there is no other case has been registered against the present petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. It is an admitted fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 03 months and is a first offender. Even no recovery was effected from him and his case is clearly distinguishable from the case of Ravi Singh @ Guri and Jagdeep Singh @ Jaggu, co-accused. Moreover, the investigation in the present case is almost complete and the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

20.03.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No