

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****RSA No. 2349 of 1996 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 12.12.2025****JAGIR KAUR AND ANOTHER****.....APPELLANTS****Vs.****DARSHAN SINGH (SINGH DECEASED) THROUGH LR AND
OTHERS****.....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr.Sushant Mahajan, Advocate, for
Mr. Vinay Kumar Mahajan, Advocate,
for the appellants.

None for the respondents.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that he has no instructions in the matter, as he is not in touch with the appellants.
2. As per the office report dated 13.11.2025, notice issued to appellant No. 1 has been received back with the report of the Process Server, which is attached as Flag 'R', and reads as under:-

"I proceeded to the Mouza mentioned in the notice and searched for the notice-recipient. I asked the persons present there, but they could not identify the notice-recipient. Hence, I returned the notice without service. It is to mention that no one agreed to sign as a witness."

3. Further, notice issued to appellant No. 2 has been received back unserved with the report, "Beyond jurisdiction of this Court. It may be



served through District Court, Alipore, Kolkata-700027.”

4. Since the appeal was filed in the year 1996, and more than 28 years have elapsed till now, and further, counsel for the appellants submits that he is not in touch with the appellants, there would be no useful purpose in keeping the matter pending as it seems that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the present appeal.

5. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

6. However, the appellants are at liberty to move an application for revival of the present appeal, if they so desire.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

DECEMBER 12, 2025 (AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
nitin JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes
Whether Reportable	No