



102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-2058-1996

Date of decision: 05.03.2025

Punjab State Warehousing Corporation, Chandigarh and another

...Appellants

Versus

Iqbal Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate for the appellants.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 22.04.2024, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Sohrab Dhanda, Advocate for the appellants.
None for the respondent.*

Mr. Sohrab Dhanda, Advocate for Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the appellants and filed memo of appearance, which is taken on record. He seeks time to get instructions.

On his request, adjourned to 18.07.2024.

22.04.2024”

2. As per report of the Registry, notice issued to sole respondent has been received back unserved with the report that he had retired from service on 28.02.2011.



3. On 03.03.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Present:- Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate for
Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate for the appellants.*

*As per report of the Registry, sole respondent has retired
from service on 28.02.2011.*

*Learned counsel for the appellants prays for an
adjournment to get instructions in the matter as to whether any
cause survives in the present appeal or not.*

Adjourned to 05.03.2025.

*To be taken up in the urgent list.
03.03.2025”*

4. The latest address of the respondent has not been brought on record. A perusal of the paper book would also show that there is no interim order in favour of the present appellants.

5. Accordingly, the present appeal is disposed of at this stage with liberty to the appellants to revive the same in case any cause survives to them and after finding the latest address of the respondent which details should be mentioned in the application for revival.

05.03.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No