

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

RSA-1936-1996(O&M)
Decided on:21.01.2025

State of Punjab and others

Versus

Mohinder Singh

... Appellants

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. In the present case, the suit has been filed by the respondent in the year 1992 and challenge has been made in the suit to the order dated 12.08.1987.
2. None has appeared on behalf of the respondent.
3. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that as per his instructions, the respondent has retired and thereafter, has also died and even his LRs are not forthcoming and thus, the present appeal has been rendered infructuous at this stage but liberty may be granted to the appellants to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.
4. In view of the above, present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.

21.01.2025

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Whether reportable?

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Yes/~~No~~

~~Yes~~/No