



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

365

CWP-3480-2003 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.09.2025

MALKIAT SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioner

Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 31.08.2000 whereby his claim for reinstatement as SPO was rejected.
2. The petitioner was discharged from the post of SPO on 24.04.2000. Post of SPO is not a permanent post. It is a temporary post in terms of Section 17 of Police Act, 1861. A period of 25 years from the date of discharge has passed away. There seems no reason to set aside impugned order at this stage.
3. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
4. Dismissed with liberty to petitioner to move an appropriate application within three months from today, if cause survives.
5. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

September 24, 2025

Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No