



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**CRWP-2458-2025 (O&M)
Decided on:07.05.2025**

Geeta and another**...Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana and others****...Respondents****Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj**

Present: Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (Oral)**CRM-W-499-2025**

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

The documents marked as Annexures A-1 to A-3 are taken on
record.

CRWP-2458-2025

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, for issuance of directions to respondent nos.1 to 4 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners who are apprehending danger at the behest of respondent nos.5 to 14 and also to decide his representation dated 02.03.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in possession of the land measuring 46 Kanals 16 Marlas, comprised in Killa Nos.32/6 (8-0), 7(8-0), 15 (8-0), 33/10 (7-12), 11 (7-12) and 25 (7-12), situated in the revenue estate of village Sersa, Tehsil Rai, District Sonapat. He submits that various civil suits are already pending between the parties regarding this land, however, no interim injunction has been granted in favour of any of the

2025:PHHC:059965



parties and due to this fact, the private respondents have no right to take forcible possession of the same but the petitioners are time and again being attacked by the private respondents with the intention to take forcible possession of the land in dispute. He submits that the petitioners confine their prayer in the present petition only to the extent of granting protection to their lives and liberty. It is submitted that the petitioners have submitted a representation dated 02.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) to respondent no.2 but nothing has been done till date.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.
4. Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Commissioner of Police, Sonapat, District Sonapat, to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners, in accordance with law, and grant them protection, if any threat to their lives and liberty is perceived.
6. It is clarified that this order shall not be deemed to have any opinion qua ownership and possession of the land in dispute and would also not grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

May 07, 2025
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES