



CRM-M-13630-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

226(ii)

CRM-M-13630-2025

Date of Decision: 18.08.2025

SUKHDEEP SINGH @ MANI

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed for grant of regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS in case FIR No. 112 dated 28.07.2022 under Section 302 IPC (Sections 201, 34 IPC added subsequently) registered at Police Station Chattiwind, District Amritsar Rural.

2. The case of the prosecution is that blind murder of Mohinder Singh was committed and his dead body was lying in the fields of the complainant. During investigation, one Gagandeep Singh @ Gagan was arrested and on the basis of his disclosure statement, the present petitioner along with co-accused Gurdev Singh @ Guri was nominated in the present case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and he has not committed any

**CRM-M-13630-2025****-2-**

offence. The petitioner along with co-accused Gurdev Singh @ Guri has been nominated only on the basis of disclosure statement suffered by co-accused Gagandeep Singh @ Gagan. The petitioner is in custody since 29.07.2022.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in the Court today and the same is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 03 years and 15 days. On asking, he further submits that out of 28 cited prosecution witnesses only 10 have been examined so far.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the above and the fact that only 10 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far; the petitioner is in custody for the last 03 years and 15 days, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.



CRM-M-13630-2025

-3-

9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the applicant is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

18.08.2025

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No