

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:034664



(135)

CRWP-2404-2025

Date of Decision: 11.03.2025

Arun Sachdeva & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.

Present:- Mr. A.S. Gulati, Advocate for petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.J (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7, who are stated to be family members of petitioner No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged about 26 years and petitioner No.2, aged about 25 years, got married on 07.03.2025 against the wishes of private respondents. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 08.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) was also made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, District Patiala, wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel

submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, District Patiala to look into their representation dated 08.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

Appearance has also been caused by Mr. Arpit Gaur, Advocate on behalf of respondents no.4 and 7.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, District Patiala to look into the representation dated 08.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

11.03.2025

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No