



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-6928-2025

Date of Decision: 12.03.2025

**SRI KRISHAN YADAV AND OTHERS****...Petitioner(s)****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS****...Respondent(s)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

Present:- Mr. Nikhil Kaushik, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

**TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)**

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to revise the pension of the petitioners in terms of order dated 19.07.2024, Annexure P-8, passed by this Court in CWP-17310-2015 titled *Shamsher Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others*.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case they are permitted to make a fresh representation before the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in this petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents/State, and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioners within a period of two weeks from today, the same will be decided by the third respondent/Director General, Higher Education, Haryana, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks of receiving the representation.



5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)  
JUDGE

12.03.2025  
Ad

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |