

**202**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13611-2025

Date of Decision:- 30.07.2025

SHER SINGH AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Surinder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Sher Singh and Pushpinder Kaur have filed present petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.242 dated 09.11.2024, under Sections 498-A and 406 of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Balongi, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

2. As per facts of the case, complainant Mandeep Kaur filed written complaint against her husband Ranvinder Singh and other members of in-laws family for harassment on account of demand of dowry. Complainant alleged that her marriage was solemnized with Ranvinder Singh on 17.11.2019. Out of this wedlock, she gave birth to a girl child on 14.08.2020. Her marriage was performed by her father by spending more than Rs.10 lakhs. He had given dowry articles as per the status and

demand of accused persons. After few days of marriage, maltreatment on account of demand of dowry started. Her husband wanted to settle abroad and raised demand of Rs.10 lakhs for going abroad. There was demand of cash, washing machine and fridge from her parents. She tried to adjust in the matrimonial home but the maltreatment continued. She tried to make them understand that her father is not in a position to satisfy their demand but they did not listen. Finally, the matter was reported to the police.

3. Learned counsel for petitioners argued that in view of interim order dated 11.03.2025, petitioners have joined the investigation. Matter has been compromised and petitioners along with Ranvinder Singh filed petition seeking quashing of present FIR in which statements of the parties are yet to be recorded. Petitioners are ready to abide by the terms of bail order.

4. Status report filed. Learned counsel representing State confirmed the allegations. In pursuance of orders passed by this Court, petitioners joined investigation on 17.03.2025. Present petitioners are not involved in any other criminal case.

5. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. As referred above, matter has been compromised between the parties. Petitioners are still ready to join the investigation. No purpose would be served by sending the petitioners behind the bars. Therefore, anticipatory bail petition filed by petitioners is allowed. They be not arrested. In case of their arrest, they be released on bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer concerned, subject to condition that petitioners will join investigation as and when required. They will not tamper with or interfere with

investigation and will not leave country without prior permission as provided under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

30.07.2025
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No