



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CWP No. 6952 of 2025

Date of Decision : 12.03.2025

**Maresh Pal**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bathinda and others**

**...Respondents**

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI***

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)**

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the Award dated 21.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) by which the claim of the petitioner that the petitioner is entitled for a payment of ₹1,71,120/- along with interest @ 18% per annum, has been declined.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once a detail was given that the petitioner has pathered 3,84,040 bricks in 270 days but he was not given the due amount, which is arbitrary and illegal and the said claim has wrongly been rejected by the Tribunal on the basis of the opinion only and not on the basis of the fact. Learned counsel submits that as it has already come on record that a sum of ₹65,000/- had already been paid to the petitioner, the remaining amount was liable to be paid to the petitioner and the findings recorded by the Tribunal are perverted to the evidence and fails, therefore, the aforementioned Award is liable to be set-aside.



3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record with his able assistance.

4. The only question raised before the Tribunal was that the petitioner pathered 3,84,040 bricks in 270 days for which he should be paid. The said issue has been examined by the Tribunal and has recorded a finding that it is impossible for a single person to pather 1422 bricks on each working day. Further, a finding has been recorded that nothing evident has come on record as to how, the calculation of 3,84,040 bricks pathered by the petitioner has been alleged as no such document to prove the same has been brought on record.

5. On being asked to show the said finding is perverse, learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to show any material evidence that the petitioner pathered 3,84,040 bricks alone in 270 days. During the argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was being helped by his family members who were also working with him. On being asked to show that such evidence was brought on record, learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to show the same.

6. Keeping in view the fact that the Award dated 21.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) can only be interfered in case the findings are shown to be perverse to the evidence which was brought on record, hence the aforementioned Award cannot be interfered. The effort of the petitioner is that on the basis of the same fact and evidence, this Court should record another finding than the one recorded by the Labour Court, the same is impermissible.



7. Keeping in view the fact that no perversity has been shown to the Award dated 21.11.2024 (Annexure P-1), no ground is made out for any interference by this Court.

8. Dismissed.

March 12, 2025  
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes*

*Whether reportable : No*