



279-284
305-309

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision : 18-02-2025

1. CWP-7092-2023 (O&M)

SAINIK SCHOOL KUNJPURAPetitioner(s)
VERSUS
PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, PANIPATRespondent(s)

2. CWP-7093-2023 (O&M)

SAINIK SCHOOL KUNJPURAPetitioner(s)
VERSUS
PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, PANIPATRespondent(s)

3. CWP-7284-2023 (O&M)

SAINIK SCHOOL KUNJPURAPetitioner(s)
VERSUS
PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, PANIPATRespondent(s)

4. CWP-7445-2023 (O&M)

SAINIK SCHOOL KUNJPURAPetitioner(s)
VERSUS
PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, PANIPATRespondent(s)

5. CWP-7452-2023 (O&M)

SAINIK SCHOOL KUNJPURA

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, PANIPAT

.....Respondent(s)

6. CWP-7839-2023 (O&M)

SAINIK SCHOOL KUNJPURA

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, PANIPAT

.....Respondent(s)

7. CWP-7265-2023 (O&M)

SAINIK SCHOOL KUNJPURA

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, PANIPAT

.....Respondent(s)

8. CWP-7267-2023 (O&M)

SAINIK SCHOOL KUNJPURA

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, PANIPAT

.....Respondent(s)

9. CWP-7269-2023 (O&M)

SAINIK SCHOOL KUNJPURA

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, PANIPAT

.....Respondent(s)

10. CWP-7276-2023 (O&M)

SAINIK SCHOOL KUNJPURAPetitioner(s)
VERSUS
PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, PANIPATRespondent(s)

11. CWP-7282-2023 (O&M)

SAINIK SCHOOL KUNJPURAPetitioner(s)
VERSUS
PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR
COURT, PANIPATRespondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Present bunch of eleven writ petitions involve common question of law in the context of common set of facts and thus they are being decided by a common order.
2. On the last date of hearing, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner(s). Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner(s). It can be safely presumed that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the present writ petitions.
3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. Any civil miscellaneous application(s) pending if any, also stands disposed of.
5. Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

18-02-2025
Sapna Goyal

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO