

2025:PHHC:069963



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-14594 of 2025
Date of Decision: 22.05.2025**

Amrik Singh and another		...Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Punjab and another		... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. G.S. Jagpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikrant K. Vij, Advocate, for the complainant.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023 with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to them in case FIR No.265 dated 09.12.2024 registered under Sections 406, 420, 506 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

2. The petitioners have earlier applied for grant of concession of anticipatory bail before this court vide CRM M-4274 of

2025, however, the same was withdrawn by them. Even, Gurbachan Singh was also denied the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court. Gurbachan Singh, main accused, filed SLP (Criminal) No. 2760 of 2025 titled as “**Gurbachan Singh Vs. State of Punjab**” before the Hon’ble Supreme Court and the Hon’ble Supreme Court has granted the concession of *interim* anticipatory bail to him. Consequently, in changed circumstances, the petitioners have also filed second petition for grant of concession of anticipatory bail, before this Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in the present case, the allegations have been primarily levelled against Gurbachan Singh. Petitioner No. 1 is aged about 72 years and is father of Gurbachan Singh, whereas, petitioner No. 2 is brother of Gurbachan Singh. Both the petitioners had no concern with the various transactions between the complainant and Gurbachan Singh and have been falsely implicated as they happened to be his father and brother, respectively. Still further, the petitioners are already residing separately from Gurbachan Singh since long and had never acted as property dealers. Both the petitioners are running the business of building material under the name and style of M/s Guru Nanak Building Material and Shuttering Store and even, had no business relation with Gurbachan Singh, co-accused.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that even Gurbachan Singh had also filed one civil suit, i.e., CS No. 3146 of 2024 against the complainant and her husband for passing a decree of declaration to the effect that Gurbachan Singh had no legally enforceable liability towards the complainant and had no right to misuse six blank signed stamp papers. Thus, the dispute was primarily civil in nature and even otherwise, the petitioners had no concern with the same.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners on the ground that the petitioner No. 1 is involved in two similar FIRs, whereas, the petitioner No. 2 is not involved in any other case. However, the petitioners had joined hands with Gurbachan Singh, to cheat him of his hardened money and the petition deserves to be dismissed by this court.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In the present case, after the grant of concession of interim anticipatory bail on 1st April, 2025, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. Even, the learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioners were no longer required for the purpose of investigation. Apart from that, Gurbachan singh, main accused has

been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

8. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioners are granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioners to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and they shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

22.05.2025
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No