

2025:PHHC:047326



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1.

CRM M-17197 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision:03.04.2025
- M/s Nikhil Footwear and others

...Petitioners
- Versus
- M/s Ridhi Sidhi Containers

... Respondent
2.

CRM M-17209 of 2024 (O&M)
- M/s Nikhil Footwear and others

... Petitioners
- Versus
- M/s Chanda Paper Industry

... Respondent
3.

CRM M-17210 of 2024 (O&M)
- M/s Nikhil Footwear and others

... Petitioners
- Versus
- M/s Chanda Paper Industry

... Respondent
4.

CRM M-17375 of 2024 (O&M)
- M/s Nikhil Footwear and others

... Petitioners
- Versus
- M/s Chanda Paper Industry

... Respondent
5.

CRM M-17425 of 2024 (O&M)
- M/s Nikhil Footwear and others

... Petitioners
- Versus
- M/s Chanda Paper Industry

... Respondent
6.

CRM M-17445 of 2024 (O&M)
- M/s Nikhil Footwear and others

... Petitioners

Versus

7.

... Petitioners

Versus

8.

... Petitioners

Versus

9.

... Petitioners

Versus

10.

... Petitioners

Versus

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. C.S. Pasricha, Advocate, for the petitioner(s)
in all the petitions.

Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose off above mentioned ten petitions, i.e., **CRM M-17197 of 2024** titled as **“M/s Nikhil Footwear and others Vs. M/s Ridhi Sidhi Containers”**, **CRM M-17209 of 2024** titled as **“M/s Nikhil Footwear and others Vs. M/s Chanda Paper Industry”**, **CRM M-17210 of 2024** titled as **“M/s Nikhil Footwear and others Vs. M/s Chanda Paper Industry”**, **CRM M-17375-2024** titled as **“M/s Nikhil Footwear and others Vs. M/s Chanda Paper Industry”**, **CRM M-17425-2024** titled as **“M/s Nikhil Footwear and others Vs. M/s Chanda Paper Industry”**, **CRM M-17445 of 2024** titled as **“M/s Nikhil Footwear and others Vs. M/s Ridhi Sidhi Containers”**, **CRM-M 17460 of 2024** titled as **“M/s Nikhil Footwear and others Vs. M/s Ridhi Sidhi Containers”**, **CRM M-17462 of 2024** titled as **“M/s Nikhil Footwear and others Vs. M/s Chanda Paper Industry”**, **CRM M-17470 of 2024** titled as **“M/s Nikhil Footwear and others Vs. M/s Ridhi Sidhi Containers”** and **CRM M-17473-2024** titled as **“M/s Nikhil Footwear and others Vs. M/s Chanda Paper Industry”**, which have been preferred by the petitioners/accused against the order dated 15.03.2024 passed by the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Faridabad, whereby, the applications filed by the petitioner/accused under Section 311 Cr.P.C. read with Section 254

Cr.P.C. for examination of various representatives of petitioner's company for leading additional evidence was ordered to be dismissed.

2. During the course of hearing, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent/complainant fairly submitted before this Court that instead of objecting for grant of relief, the respondent/complainant is more interested in getting the trial decided expeditiously and for that purpose, the respondent has no objection if the present petitions before this Court are ordered to be allowed.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

4. In fact, the stand taken by the learned counsel for the respondent is very fair and reasonable. Therefore, strictly on the basis of the concession given by the respondent, I am inclined to allow all the petitions with a direction to the trial Court to complete the trial in a time bound manner. At the same time, the present petitioner is directed to cooperate with the trial Court in early disposal of the trial and the all the petitions are ordered to be allowed in the following terms:-

(i) The application (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioners/accused, under Section 311/254 Cr.P.C., for examination of authorized representative (A.R.) of the company and to lead evidence by tendering certain documents are allowed and one effective opportunity

shall be granted to the petitioners to lead evidence, as prayed in the application in question (Annexure P-2).

(ii) Both the parties shall cooperate with the trial Court to conclude the proceedings expeditiously and any witness produced by the petitioners may be cross-examined by the respondent on the same date.

(iii) The trial Court is directed to conclude the trial in a time bound manner, preferably within a period of 03 months, from the next date of hearing fixed before the Court.

5. All pending applications, if any, are disposed off, accordingly.

03.04.2025
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No