224-1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 2081 of 2001 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 11.12.2025

LADO AND OTHERS

.....APPELLANTS

Vs.

BHULA RAM AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate,
for respondent No. 3-insurance company.

*****

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. As per the office report, notice issued to appellants No. 1 and 3 has been received back duly served, and notice issued to respondent No. 2 has been received back served through the daughter-in-law.

2. Today is the third date in succession when there is no representation on behalf of the appellants.

3. It appears that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the appeal.

4. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

5. However, liberty is granted to the appellants to move an appropriate application for revival of the appeal, if so advised.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

DECEMBER 11, 2025 **(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)**
nitin **JUDGE**

Whether Speaking Yes
Whether Reportable No

NITIN
2025.12.15 10:10
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document