



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

260

CRM-M-13880-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.04.2025

Aman Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Pooja, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking quashing of FIR No.0100 dated 30.04.2024 registered under Section 420 IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur, and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 25.02.2025.

2. The following order was passed on 24.03.2025:-

“XX XX XX XX

Notice of motion for 25.04.2025.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 – State and Ms. Pooja, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and files Vakalatnama,



which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 25.04.2025.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the dispute is between the close relatives and one fictitious person namely Jimmy has been named, who is non-existent and there is no other accused except the present petitioner in the FIR (supra). Furthermore, the petitioner was the beneficiary of the alleged fraud and respondent No.2 was the victim.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466 and Shakuntala Sawhney***

2025:PHHC:052930



(Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0100 dated 30.04.2024 registered under Section 420 IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur and all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

25.04.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No