



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CWP-8641-2021

Date of Decision : September 23, 2025

KISHAN CHAND JAIN

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present : Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for respondent No.4.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the parties are *ad-idem* that the controversy raised in the present petition stands adjudicated by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-22235-2022 decided on 18.02.2025, wherein the Court held as under:-

“In view of the above, learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that all these writ petitions are rendered infructuous. However, learned counsel for petitioners pray for liberty to raise all their grievances before the Town Vending Committees in accordance with law and in case of any grievance against the decision which may be taken by the Town Vending Committees, to avail remedy of appeal under the Act.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances and consensus arrived at between the parties, all the afore-mentioned writ petitions are disposed of as infructuous. Needless

to say, petitioners are at liberty to raise all pleas available to them in accordance with law before the respective Town Vending Committee(s)/District Street Vendors Dispute Redressal Committee.”

2. Leaving it open for the petitioner to pursuance the remedy in terms of the judgment and order dated 18.02.2025 passed in CWP-22235-2022, this petition also stands disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

September 23, 2025
ajaysharma

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No