



CRM-M-13354-2025 (O&M) -1-

206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCRM-M-13354-2025 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 27.05.2025

MUSTAK @ MUSTKEEM

.....PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikram Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the '*Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita*', 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.61, dated 28.12.2023, under Sections 420 and 204 IPC read with Section 120-B thereof, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Central, District Faridabad.

2. A status report, dated 22.05.2025 by way of an affidavit of Sh. Ashok Kumar, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Cyber Crime, Faridabad, has been filed by learned State counsel in Court today. The same is taken on record.

3. The petitioner was directed to join the investigation, as per the order dated 10.03.2025 passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State on instructions from ASI Lokesh confirms that the petitioner has joined



investigation and also contends that his further custodial interrogation is not required.

4.1 As per the aforesaid status report filed by learned State counsel in Court today, there are total 02 accused in the present FIR, i.e. Mustak @ Mustkeen-petitioner and Abid (prime accused). The co-accused of the petitioner Abid conspired with the petitioner and they used to cheat people through phone calls by posing as someone else.

4.2 It is further stated in the status report that the aforesaid co-accused Abid has already been acquitted by the trial Court, vide judgment dated 07.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) as a compromise was effected between him and the complainant. The petitioner has joined the investigation in the present case on 19.04.2025 and his further custodial interrogation is not required. Charges have not been framed against the petitioner as yet. It is also mentioned in the aforesaid status report that no other FIR has been registered against the petitioner and the petitioner has never been declared as a Proclaimed Offender.

5. In view of the reasons recorded hereinabove and in the order dated 10.03.2025 and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation, his further custodial interrogation is not required by the Investigating Agency, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 10.03.2025 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

6. However, it is made clear that nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.



7. Liberty is reserved in favour of the State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of the order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

MAY 27, 2025
nitin

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No