



CWP-6811-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(121)

CWP-6811-2025

Date of Decision : March 11, 2025

Ramandeep Singh and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Navdeep, Advocate, for
Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the order passed by this Court in ***CWP No.15896 of 2023 titled as Saurabh Sharma and others vs. Sate of Punjab and another, decided on 13.09.2024***, the petitioners are also entitled for the grant of pay as per the Rules governing the service, which is not being granted to the petitioners and the same is causing prejudice to them hence, appropriate direction be issued to the respondents to grant the petitioners the pay as per the Rules governing the service.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners in the representation dated 24.01.2025 (Annexure P-15) which is

**CWP-6811-2025****2**

still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, learned Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 24.01.2025 (Annexure P-15) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioners, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

March 11, 2025*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No